1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB 5 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT 6 [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: LITIGATION **DEFENDANTS UBER** 7 TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S MOTION TO 8 This Document Relates to: **DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 5** 9 Jane Doe NLG (N.K.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-10 06217-CRB 11 Jane Doe NLG (B.D.) v. Uber 12 Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-05972-CRB 13 Jane Doe NLG (M.E.) v. Uber 14 Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-06480-CRB, 15 16 Et al 17 18 [PROPOSED] ORDER 19 Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 20 21 5, the papers filed in support and opposition, and the record in this case, the Court therefore hereby 22 ORDERS as follows: 23 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 4027] is DENIED without prejudice. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: , 2025 HON. CHARLES R. BREYER 27 United States District Court Judge 28 1 [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CASE NO. 3:23-MD-03084-CRB

RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASES

FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 5